

Pricing of Collateralized Derivatives *

Masaaki Fujii[†], Akihiko Takahashi[§]

@Nakanoshima Workshop 12/3 2011

^{*}This research is supported by CARF (Center for Advanced Research in Finance) and the global COE program "The research and training center for new development in mathematics." All the contents expressed in this research are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of any institutions. The authors are not responsible or liable in any manner for any losses and/or damages caused by the use of any contents in this research.

[†] Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo § Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo

Outlines

- Introduction
- 2 Pricing Framework
- O Pricing Formula
- 4 Special Cases
- 5 Term Structure Modeling under Collateralization
- 6 Imperfect Collateralization and CVA
- Conclusions



Introduction : Outstanding Issues

- Wide and Volatile Basis Spreads:
 - Libor-OIS, Cross Currency basis, 3m/6m basis etc...
- Pricing consistent with CSA (Credit Support Annex):
 - Take into cash flow arising from the collateral account.
 - Collateral Asset, particularly, the choice of collateral currency.
- New Regulations
 - CVA/DVA, LCR, mandatory clearing at CCP, stricter collateral control in OTC.
- Forthcoming ISDA CSA (SCSA)
 - Daily electric margin call with MTA=Threshold=0.
 - USD collateralization for non-G5
- New Financial Services
 - Collateral transformation to allow clients to access CCPs.

All these developments require clear understanding of counter party risk and cost of collateralization.

Market Data: LIBOR-OIS Spread (3m)



Market Data: Cross Currency Basis Spread (1yr)



Market Data: CCS Term Structure



Market Development

What is going on ?

- Loss of Price-Transparency:
 - Counter-party Credit Risk
 - Collateralization
- New market benchmark price is appearing:
 - Collateralized Deals
 - Moving to CSA-consistent pricing
 - Model change in Swapclear in LCH.Clearnet. (2010)
 - Un-collateralized Deals
 - Credit Value Adjustment (CVA/DVA)

Survey by KPMG



kpmg.com

Survey result - When do you plan to go live with your CSA discounting methodology?



Major international banks

9/38

Survey results - For which asset classes are you using/planning to use CSA discounting?





10/38

Valuation: Medium sized banks



11/38

Figure 4

Survey Result – Do you (plan to) consider the currency of the respective collateral posted when building the discount curve?

Major international banks



Medium sized banks



Figure 5

Implemented

Planned

Survey Result - Do you use/plan to use a CVA/DVA approach in pricing uncollateralized derivatives?



Motivation of This Talk

Our Goal

- Explain the Current Market Issues and Developments (done).
- Explain CSA-consistent pricing and CVA
 - Pricing under Perfect Collateralization
 - Effect of Collateral Currency Choice
 - Embedded Cheapest-to-Deliver Option in CSA
 - Imperfect Collateralization and Bilateral CVA

under the unified framework.



- Probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, Q)$, where \mathbb{F} contains all the market information including defaults.
- Consider two firms, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, whose default time is $\tau^i \in [0, \infty]$, and $\tau = \tau^1 \wedge \tau^2$.
- τ^i (and hence τ) is assumed to be totally-inaccessible \mathbb{F} -stopping time.
- Indicator functions: $H^i_t = 1_{\{ au^i \leq t\}}$, $H_t = 1_{\{ au \leq t\}}$

0

• Assume the existence of absolutely continuous compensator for *H*^{*i*}:

$$A^i_t=\int_0^t h^i_s 1_{\{ au^i>s\}}ds, \quad t\geq 0$$

• Assume no simultaneous default, and hence the hazard rate of *H* is

$$h_t = h_t^1 + h_t^2 \; .$$

• Money market account: $eta_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t r_u du
ight)$ 16 / 38



Collateralization

- When party i ∈ {1,2} has negative mark-to-market, it has to post collateral (cash) to party j(≠ i), and it is assumed to be done continuously.
- collateral coverage ratio is $\delta_t^i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and the value of collateral at time t is given by $\delta_t^i(-V_t^i)$.
 - δ_t^i effectively takes into account under- as well as over-collateralization. Thus $\delta_t^i < 1$, and also $\delta_t^i > 1$ are possible.
- party j has to pay the collateral rate c_t^i on the posted cash continuously.
- c_t^i is determined by the currency posted by party *i*.
 - In general, $c_t^i \neq r_t^i$, which is the risk-free interest rate of the same currency. This is necessary to explain CCS swap market consistently.

Counterparty Exposure and Recovery Scheme

• Counterparty exposure to party *j* at time *t* (from the view point of party *i*)

$$\max(1 - \delta_t^j, 0) \max(V_t^i, 0) + \max(\delta_t^i - 1, 0) \max(-V_t^i, 0)$$

- Assume party-j's recovery rate at time t as $R_t^j \in [0,1]$
- Recovery value at the time of j's default:

$$R_t^j \left([1-\delta_t^j]^+ [V_t^i]^+ + [\delta_t^i-1]^+ [-V_t^i]^+
ight)$$

Introduction Framework Pricing Formula Special Cases Term Structure Modeling Imperfect Collateralization Conclusions References

Pricing Formula

• Pricing from the view point of party 1.

$$\begin{split} S_t &= \beta_t E^Q \left| \int_{]t,T]} \beta_u^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > u\}} \Big\{ dD_u + \big(y_u^1 \delta_u^1 \mathbf{1}_{\{S_u < 0\}} + y_u^2 \delta_u^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{S_u \ge 0\}} \big) S_u du \Big\} \\ &+ \left. \int_{]t,T]} \beta_u^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \ge u\}} \Big(Z^1(u, S_{u-}) dH_u^1 + Z^2(u, S_{u-}) dH_u^2 \Big) \bigg| \,\mathcal{F}_t \right] \end{split}$$

• D: cumulative dividend to party 1.

• $y_t^i = r_t^i - c_t^i$, $(i \in \{1, 2\})$ denotes the instantaneous return at time t from the cash collateral posted by party i, or stochastic dividend yield. • Default payoff:

$$\begin{split} Z^1(t,v) &= \Big(1 - (1 - R_t^1)(1 - \delta_t^1)^+\Big)v\mathbf{1}_{\{v < 0\}} + \Big(1 + (1 - R_t^1)(\delta_t^2 - 1)^+\Big)v\mathbf{1}_{\{v \ge 0\}} \\ Z^2(t,v) &= \Big(1 - (1 - R_t^2)(1 - \delta_t^2)^+\Big)v\mathbf{1}_{\{v \ge 0\}} + \Big(1 + (1 - R_t^2)(\delta_t^1 - 1)^+\Big)v\mathbf{1}_{\{v < 0\}} \end{split}$$

Pricing Formula

Pre-default value of the contract $V_t 1_{\{\tau > t\}} = S_t$ is given by

$$V_t = E^Q \left[\int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_t^s (r_u - \mu(u, V_u))
ight) dD_s \Bigg| \mathcal{F}_t
ight], \ t \leq T$$

where

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mu(t,v) &=& ilde{y}_t^1 1_{\{v < 0\}} + ilde{y}_t^2 1_{\{v \geq 0\}} \ & ilde{y}_t^i &=& \delta_t^i y_t^i - (1-R_t^i)(1-\delta_t^i)^+ h_t^i + (1-R_t^j)(\delta_t^i-1)^+ h_t^j \end{array}$$

- Duffie & Huang (1996) derives under some technical conditions.
- More precisely, the measure is different from the usual money-market measure, but rather "survival measure" introduced by Schönbucher (2000) and Collin-Dufresne et.al. (2004).
- It has an important meaning when dealing with the credit derivatives, such as CDS (Fujii & Takahashi, 2011).
- In this talk, we focus on the usual fixed income derivatives, and do not go into details about this.

Symmetric Case

Effective discount factor is non-linear

$$r_t - \mu(t,v) = r_t - \left(ilde{y}_t^1 \mathbb{1}_{\{v < 0\}} + ilde{y}_t^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{v \ge 0\}}
ight)$$

which makes the portfolio value non-additive. If $\tilde{y}_t^1 = \tilde{y}_t^2 = \tilde{y}_t$, then we have

$$\mu(t,v) = ilde{y}_t$$
 .

If \tilde{y} is not explicitly dependent on V, we can recover the linearity.

$$V_t = E^Q \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp \left(- \int_t^s (r_u - ilde y_u) du
ight) dD_s
ight| \mathcal{F}_t
ight]$$

Portfolio valuation can be decomposed into that of each payment. \Downarrow

A good characteristic for market benchmark price.

Generic Situations

• If
$$ilde{y}^1
eq ilde{y}^2$$
,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} V_t & = & E^Q \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_t^s \left(r_u - \mu(u,V_u) \right) \right) dD_s \right| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ \mu(t,v) & = & \tilde{y}_t^{1} \mathbf{1}_{\{v < 0\}} + \tilde{y}_t^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\{v \ge 0\}} \\ \tilde{y}_t^i & = & \delta_t^i y_t^i - (1-R_t^i)(1-\delta_t^i)^+ h_t^i + (1-R_t^j)(\delta_t^i-1)^+ h_t^j \end{array}$$

V and the other processes form a system of non-linear FBSDE. Marginal Impact of asymmetry:

• Make use of Gateaux derivative as the first-order Approximation: Duffie & Skiadas (1994), Duffie & Huang (1996)

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sup_t \left| \nabla V_t(\bar{\eta};\eta) - \frac{V_t(\bar{\eta} + \epsilon \eta) - V_t(\bar{\eta})}{\epsilon} \right| = 0$$

 η and $\bar{\eta}$ are bounded and predictable

Generic Situations

Marginal Impact of Asymmetry

• We want to expand the price around symmetric benchmark price.

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mu(t,v) &=& ilde{y}_t^1 1_{\{v < 0\}} + ilde{y}_t^2 1_{\{v \geq 0\}} \ &=& extstyle extsty$$

• Calculate GD at symmetric $\mu = y$ point.

$$V_t(\mu) \simeq V_t(y) +
abla V_t(y, \mu - y)$$

Introduction Framework Pricing Formula Special Cases Term Structure Modeling Imperfect Collateralization Conclusions References

Generic Situations

• Applying Gateaux Derivative at $\mu = y$ point:

$$V_t = E^Q \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_t^s (r_u - \mu(u, V_u))
ight) dD_s
ight| \mathcal{F}_t
ight], \ t \leq T$$

is decomposed as $V_t \simeq \overline{V}_t +
abla V_t$, where

$$\begin{split} \overline{V}_t &= E^Q \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(-\int_t^s (r_u - y_u) du \right) dD_s \right| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ \nabla V_t &= E^Q \left[\left. \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s (r_u - y_u) du} \overline{V}_s \left(\Delta \tilde{y}_s^1 1_{\{\overline{V}_s < 0\}} + \Delta \tilde{y}_s^2 1_{\{\overline{V}_s \ge 0\}} \right) ds \right| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \end{split}$$

If y is chosen in such a way that it reflects the funding cost of the standard collateral agreements, \overline{V} turns out to be the market benchmark price, and ∇V represents the corrections (CVA, non-standard CSA, etc). For analytical approximation including higher order corrections for generic non-linear FBSDEs, see Fujii & Takahashi (2011).

Perfect Collateralization with a Domestic Currency

Special Cases

Case 1 : Benchmark for single currency product

- bilateral perfect collateralization $(\delta^1 = \delta^2 = 1)$
- both parties use the same currency (*i*) as collateral, which is also the payment (evaluation) currency.

•
$$\mu(t,v) = y^{(i)} = r^{(i)} - c^{(i)}$$

$$V_t^{(i)} = E^{oldsymbol{Q}^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_t^s oldsymbol{c}_u^{(i)} du
ight) dD_s
ight| oldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_t
ight]$$

The valuation method for single currency swap adopted by LCH Swapclear (2010) is the same with this formula.

Perfect Collateralization with a Foreign Currency

Special Cases

Case 2 : Collateral posted by a Foreign Currency Particularly relevant for non-G5 currencies allocated in USD-silo in SCSA.

- bilateral perfect collateralization $(\delta^1 = \delta^2 = 1)$
- both parties use the same currency (k) as collateral, which is different from the payment (evaluation) currency (i)

•
$$r^{(i)} - \mu(t, v) = r^{(i)} - y^{(k)}$$

 $V_t^{(i)} = E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(-\int_t^s (c_u^{(i)} + y_u^{(i,k)}) du \right) dD_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$

Funding Spread between the two currencies

$$m{y}^{(i,k)} = m{y}^{(i)} - m{y}^{(k)} = \left(m{r}^{(i)} - m{c}^{(i)}
ight) - \left(m{r}^{(k)} - m{c}^{(k)}
ight)$$

Cheapest-to-Deliver Option

Special Cases

Case 3 : Multiple Eligible Collaterals

- bilateral perfect collateralization $(\delta^1=\delta^2=1)$
- both parties choose the optimal currency from the eligible collateral set C. Currency (i) is used as the evaluation currency.

$$V_t^{(i)} = E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_t^s (c_u^{(i)} + \max_{k \in \mathcal{C}} [\boldsymbol{y}_u^{(i,k)}]) du
ight) dD_s
ight| \mathcal{F}_t
ight]$$

- The party who posts collateral has the optionality of collateral choice.
- The cheapest collateral currency should be chosen based on CCS information.

Term Structure Modeling under Collateralization

Modeling of Collateral Rate : c(t,s)

- OIS collateralized with Domestic Currency:
 - Both of the reference and discounting rate is equal to collateral rate (O/N-rate).
 - Market OIS rates determine collateral rate term structure.

$$dc(t,s) = \sigma_c(t,s) \cdot \left(\int_t^s \sigma_c(t,u) du
ight) dt + \sigma_c(t,s) \cdot dW^Q_t$$

Term Structure Modeling under Collateralization

Modeling of LIBOR-OIS Spread : B(t;T, au)

- IRS and TS (tenor swap, such as 3m/6m basis swap) collateralized with Domestic Currency:
 - Discounting is given by the collateral rate c.
 - Market IRS and TS rates determine the term structures of LIBOR-OIS spreads.

$$rac{dB(t,T; au)}{B(t,T; au)} = \sigma_B(t;T, au) \cdot \left(\int_t^T \sigma_c(t,s) ds
ight) dt + \sigma_B(t;T, au) \cdot dW^Q_t$$

Term Structure Modeling under Collateralization

Currency Funding Spread $y^{(i,j)}$

- Cross Currency Swap (USD vs JPY, EUR, GBP, etc)
 - Collateralized by USD (to be standardized by SCSA).
 - USD leg : all the ingredients are already fixed by USD domestic IR market.
 - X leg : c(X), LIBOR-OIS(X) are already fixed by X domestic IR market. Only freedom to fix is $y^{\{X,USD\}}$.
 - Term structure of $y^{\{X,USD\}}$ is fixed by market quotes of CCS basis.

$$dy^{(i,j)}(t,s) = \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,s) \cdot \left(\int_t^s \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,u) du\right) dt + \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,s) \cdot dW_t^{Q^{(i)}}$$

la Special Cases

Term Structure Modeling

ng Imperfect Collateralization Conclusions Reference

Term Structure Modeling under Collateralization

Generic IR-FX Framework under the Perfect Collateralization

$$\begin{split} dc^{(i)}(t,s) &= \sigma_c^{(i)}(t,s) \cdot \left(\int_t^s \sigma_c^{(i)}(t,u) du\right) dt + \sigma_c^{(i)}(t,s) \cdot dW_t^{Q^{(i)}} \\ &\frac{dB^{(i)}(t,T;\tau)}{B^{(i)}(t,T;\tau)} = \sigma_B^{(i)}(t;T,\tau) \cdot \left(\int_t^T \sigma_c^{(i)}(t,s) ds\right) dt + \sigma_B^{(i)}(t;T,\tau) \cdot dW_t^{Q^{(i)}} \\ &dy^{(i,j)}(t,s) = \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,s) \cdot \left(\int_t^s \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,u) du\right) dt + \sigma_y^{(i,j)}(t,s) \cdot dW_t^{Q^{(i)}} \\ &\frac{df_x^{(i,j)}(t)}{f_x^{(i,j)}(t)} = (c^{(i)}(t) - c^{(j)}(t) + y^{(i,j)}(t)) dt + \sigma_x^{(i,j)}(t) \cdot dW_t^{Q^{(i)}} \end{split}$$

- SDEs for the other currencies are just given by the measure change.
- The above framework allows to take into account LIBOR-OIS basis, Tenor basis, and Cross Currency basis spreads.

Imperfect Collateralization

CVA as the Deviation from the Perfect Collateralization

 Assume the both parties use the same currency for simplicity, and hence y¹ = y² = y.

$$\begin{split} \mu(t,v) &= y_t - \\ \left\{ \left((1-\delta_t^1) y_t + (1-R_t^1)(1-\delta_t^1)^+ h_t^1 - (1-R_t^2)(\delta_t^1-1)^+ h_t^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{v < 0\}} \\ &+ \left((1-\delta_t^2) y_t + (1-R_t^2)(1-\delta_t^2)^+ h_t^2 - (1-R_t^1)(\delta_t^2-1)^+ h_t^1 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{v \ge 0\}} \end{split} \right\} \end{split}$$

- GD around $\mu = y$ decomposes the price into three parts:
 - Symmetric perfectly collateralized benchmark price
 - $(1 \delta^i)y \mathbb{1}_{\{v \leq 0\}} \Rightarrow$ Collateral Cost Adjustment (CCA)
 - Remaining h dependent terms \Rightarrow Credit Value Adjustment (CVA)

$$egin{array}{rcl} V_t &\simeq& \overline{V}_t +
abla V_t \ &=& \overline{V}_t + {
m CCA} + {
m CVA} \end{array}$$

Imperfect Collateralization

Price adjustment of imperfectly collateralized contract

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{t} &= E^{Q} \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(-\int_{t}^{s} (r_{u} - y_{u}) du \right) dD_{s} \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \\ \mathbf{CCA} &= E^{Q} \left[\left. \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (r_{u} - y_{u}) du} y_{s} \left((1 - \delta_{s}^{1})[-\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} - (1 - \delta_{s}^{2})[\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} \right) ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \\ \mathbf{CVA} &= \\ E^{Q} \left[\int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (r_{u} - y_{u}) du} (1 - R_{s}^{1}) h_{s}^{1} \left[(1 - \delta_{s}^{1})^{+}[-\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} + (\delta_{s}^{2} - 1)^{+}[\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} \right] ds \\ &- \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (r_{u} - y_{u}) du} (1 - R_{s}^{2}) h_{s}^{2} \left[(1 - \delta_{s}^{2})^{+}[\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} + (\delta_{s}^{1} - 1)^{+}[-\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} \right] ds \bigg| \mathcal{F}_{t} \bigg] \\ \bullet V_{t} \simeq \overline{V}_{t} + \mathbf{CCA} + \mathbf{CVA} \end{split}$$

Imperfect Collateralization

A simple case of Imperfect Collateralization.

- Both parties use currency (j) as collateral.
- Evaluation (payment) currency is (*i*).
- Assume common collateral coverage ratio $\delta < 1$.
- Assume constant recovery ratio R^1 and R^2 , respectively.

$$\begin{split} \overline{V}_{t} &= E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{]t,T]} \exp\left(- \int_{t}^{s} (c_{u}^{(i)} + y_{u}^{(i,j)}) du \right) dD_{s} \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \\ \text{CCA} &= -E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (c_{u}^{(i)} + y_{u}^{(i,j)}) du} y_{s}^{(j)} (1 - \delta_{s}) \overline{V}_{s} ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \\ \text{CVA} &= (1 - R^{1}) E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (c_{u}^{(i)} + y_{u}^{(i,j)}) du} (1 - \delta_{s}) h_{s}^{1} [-\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \\ &- (1 - R^{2}) E^{Q^{(i)}} \left[\left. \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} (c_{u}^{(i)} + y_{u}^{(i,j)}) du} (1 - \delta_{s}) h_{s}^{2} [\overline{V}_{s}]^{+} ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \end{split}$$

Dependence among y, δ and other factors, such as \overline{V}, h^i is particularly important. \Rightarrow New type of Wrong (Right)-way Risk.

Devaluation of Collateral

- How to handle the situation where there exists significant risk of the devaluation of collateral at the time of counter party default?
 - EUR posted by European banks as collateral
 - JGB posted by Japanese mega-banks as collateral
 - Domestic currency or government bond posted by the sovereign as collateral

Assume perfect collateralization for simplicity.

- CCA \Rightarrow 0.
- CVA \Rightarrow interpret δ (< 1) as the fraction of devaluation.
- More general situations, one needs to introduce multiple δ processes.



We have explained:

- Current Market Issues
- CSA-consistent Benchmark Pricing
- Adjustments for remaining costs: CCA, CVA/DVA

Challenge for Japanese Financial Firms and Authorities

- Adopt the new standards as quickly as possible in order not to be arbitraged away by foreign firms and protect the interests of depositors.
- Improve the status of JPY as collateral.
- Deepen the discussion on CCPs and related topics, such as the accessibility from funds, local banks, and corporates and associated risk-management issues.

References I

- [1] ISDA, 2011, "Overview of ISDA Standard Credit Support Annex (SCSA)."
- [2] KPMG, 2011, "New valuation and pricing approaches for derivatives in the wake of the financial crisis."
- [3] Brigo, D., Capponi, A., 2009, "Bilateral counterparty risk valuation with stochastic dynamical models and application to Credit Default Swaps".
- [4] Collin-Dufresne, P., Goldstein, R., and Hugonnier, J., "A General Formula for Valuing Defaultable Securities," Econometrica (2004), 72, 1377-1407.
- [5] Duffie, D., Huang, M., "Swap Rates and Credit Quality," Journal of Finance (1996) Vol. 51, No. 3, 921.
- [6] Duffie, D., Skiadas, C., 1994, "Continuous-time security pricing: A utility gradient approach," Journal of Mathematical Economics 23: 107-131.
- [7] Fujii, M., Shimada, Y., Takahashi, A., 2009, "A note on construction of multiple swap curves with and without collateral," FSA Research Review

References II

- [8] Fujii, M., Shimada, Y., Takahashi, A., 2011, "A Market Model of Interest Rates with Dynamic Basis Spreads in the presence of Collateral and Multiple Currencies", Wilmott Magazine.
- [9] Fujii, M., Takahashi, A., 2011, "Choice of Collateral Currency", Risk Magazine.
- [10] Fujii, M., Takahashi, A., 2010, "Derivative pricing under Asymmetric and Imperfect Collateralization and CVA," CARF Working paper series F-240
- [11] Fujii, M., Takahashi, A., 2011, "Collateralized CDS and Default Dependence," CARF Working paper series F-246
- [12] Fujii, M., Takahashi, A., 2011, "Analytical Approximation for Non-linear FBSDEs with Perturbation Scheme," CARF Working paper series F-248.
- [13] Schönbucher, P., 2000 "A Libor Market Model with Default Risk," Working Paper, University of Bonn.