

PROJECTIVE UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF SMOOTH DELIGNE COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

KIYONORI GOMI

*COE Conference: Groups, Homotopy and Configuration Spaces,
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, July 5–11, 2005*

ABSTRACT. Generalizing positive energy representations of the loop group of the circle, we construct projective unitary representations of the smooth Deligne cohomology group of a compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $4k + 1$. The number of equivalence classes of these representations is shown to be 2 to the $2k$ th Betti number of the manifold.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Statement of Result	2
3.	Construction of representations	2
4.	Classification of representations	4
	References	4

1. INTRODUCTION

The *smooth Deligne cohomology group* ([1]) of a smooth manifold M is the hypercohomology $H^p(M, \mathbb{Z}(q)_D^\infty)$ of the complex of sheaves:

$$\mathbb{Z}(q)_D^\infty : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \underline{A}^0 \xrightarrow{d} \underline{A}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \underline{A}^{q-1} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

where \mathbb{Z} is the constant sheaf, and \underline{A}^k is the sheaf of germs of \mathbb{R} -valued differential k -forms on M . In [2], a non-trivial central extension $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ of the smooth Deligne cohomology group $\mathcal{G}(M) = H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}(2k+1)_D^\infty)$ by $U(1)$ was constructed for a compact oriented smooth $(4k+1)$ -dimensional manifold without boundary:

$$1 \longrightarrow U(1) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(M) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The group provides a generalization of a central extension of the loop group $LU(1) = C^\infty(S^1, U(1))$: if we take $k = 0$ and $M = S^1$, then we can identify $\mathcal{G}(S^1)$ with $LU(1)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(S^1)$ is isomorphic to the central extension $\widehat{LU}(1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\widehat{LU}(1)$ stands for the *universal (basic) central extension* ([3]) of $LU(1)$.

A motivation for introducing the central extensions $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ in [2] is a generalization of Wess-Zumino-Witten model (from a viewpoint of higher gerbes). In a

The author's research is supported by Research Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

construction of the space of conformal blocks in WZW model, *positive energy representations* of central extensions of loop groups are fundamental ingredients. The aim of the work presented here is to explain a construction of representations of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$, generalizing positive energy representations of central extensions of the loop group $LU(1)$.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULT

The central extension $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ is, by definition, the group defined by a set $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M) = \mathcal{G}(M) \times U(1)$ endowed with the group multiplication

$$(f, u) \cdot (g, v) = (f + g, uv \exp 2\pi i S_M(f, g)),$$

where $S_M : \mathcal{G}(M) \times \mathcal{G}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a 2-cocycle. Hence linear representations of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ correspond to projective representations of $\mathcal{G}(M)$. We remark that the correspondence will be used freely in the sequel. We also remark that $\mathcal{G}(M)$ will be regarded as a topological group in the below. (See the next section for details of the topology.)

Now, our results are as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let k be a non-negative integer, M a compact oriented smooth $(4k + 1)$ -dimensional manifold, and $\mathcal{G}(M) = H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}(2k + 1)_D^\infty)$ the smooth Deligne cohomology group. We take and fix a Riemannian metric on M . Then, for each homomorphism*

$$\lambda : H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})/H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$$

we can construct a projective unitary representation $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_λ with its cocycle

$$e^{2\pi i S_M(\cdot, \cdot)} : \mathcal{G}(M) \times \mathcal{G}(M) \longrightarrow U(1).$$

The representation is continuous in the sense that $\rho_\lambda : \mathcal{G}(M) \times \mathcal{H}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is a continuous map.

Theorem 2.2. *The number of the equivalence classes of the projective representations $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ is 2^b , where $b = b_{2k}(M)$ is the $2k$ th Betti number of M .*

As an example, we take $k = 0$ and $M = S^1$. In this case, the representation \mathcal{H}_λ labeled by $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to a positive energy representation of $LU(1)$ of level 2. It is known in [3] that any irreducible positive energy representation of $LU(1)$ of level 2 is isomorphic to either \mathcal{H}_0 or \mathcal{H}_1 .

3. CONSTRUCTION OF REPRESENTATIONS

The key to the construction of $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ is the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a compact oriented $(4k + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, and $A^q(M)$ the space of q -forms on M . Then there exists a positive definite inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_V : A^{2k}(M) \times A^{2k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following:*

(a) *If we topologize $A^{2k}(M)$ by $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$, then the topological vector space $A^{2k}(M)$ decomposes into mutually orthogonal subspaces with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$:*

$$A^{2k}(M) \cong d(A^{2k-1}(M)) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{2k}(M) \oplus d^*(A^{2k+1}(M)),$$

where $d^ : A^{2k+1}(M) \rightarrow A^{2k}(M)$ is defined to be $d^* = -*d*$ by means of the Hodge star operators, and $\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)$ is the space of Harmonic $2k$ -forms on M .*

(b) Let V denote the Hilbert space obtained as the completion of $d^*(A^{2k+1}(M))$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$. Then there exists a linear map $J : V \rightarrow V$ such that:

- (i) $J^2 = -1$;
- (ii) $(Jv, Jv')_V = (v, v')_V$ for $v, v' \in V$;
- (iii) $(\alpha, J\beta)_V = \int_M \alpha \wedge d\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in d^*(A^{2k+1}(M)) \subset V$.

We can see Proposition 3.1 by taking $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ to be the inner product induced by the Sobolev $H_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm and $J : V \rightarrow V$ to be $J = \tilde{J}/|\tilde{J}|$, where $\tilde{J} : d^*(A^{2k+1}(M)) \rightarrow d^*(A^{2k+1}(M))$ is the differential operator $\tilde{J} = *d$.

Note that the smooth Deligne cohomology $\mathcal{G}(M) = H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}(2k+1)_D^\infty)$ fits into the exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(M) \xrightarrow{x} H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ consists of closed integral $2k$ -forms on M . Since M is assumed to be compact, the exact sequence above splits. Thus, the topology on $A^{2k}(M)$ and the discrete topology on $H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ makes $\mathcal{G}(M)$ into a Hausdorff topological group such that

$$\mathcal{G}(M) \cong (\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}) \times d^*(A^{2k+1}(M)) \times H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{H}^{2k}(M) \cap A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ is the group of harmonic $2k$ -forms with integral periods. We remark that, in the case of $k = 0$ and $M = S^1$, the isomorphism above is a familiar one: $LU(1) \cong U(1) \times \{\phi : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int \phi(t)dt = 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Note also that we can use the third property in Proposition 3.1 (b) to prove that the group 2-cocycle $S_M : \mathcal{G}(M) \times \mathcal{G}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is continuous: in fact, on the subgroup $A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$, we can express S_M as $S_M(\alpha, \beta) = \int_M \alpha \wedge d\beta \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Now we outline the construction of $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ in Theorem 2.1, which is a straight generalization of that of positive energy representations of $LU(1)$ in [3].

First, we construct a projective representation of $d^*(A^{2k+1}(M))$. For this aim, let $S : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the bilinear map $S(v, v') = (v, Jv')$. Then the ‘‘symplectic form’’ S defines a central extension \tilde{V} of V , which is often called the *Heisenberg group*. By virtue of the complex structure J on V , we can apply the standard construction of the representation of the Heisenberg group [3] to our case. Consequently, we obtain a projective representation (ρ, H) of $d^*(A^{2k+1}(M))$.

Next, we construct a projective representation of $A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphisms $\lambda : H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})/H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ correspond bijectively to the homomorphisms $\lambda : \mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. For each λ , we define a projective representation $(\rho_\lambda, H_\lambda)$ of $A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ by putting $H_\lambda = H$ and $\rho_\lambda(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \lambda(\eta)} \rho(\nu)$, where we expressed $\alpha \in A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ by $\eta \in \mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu \in d^*(A^{2k+1}(M))$.

Finally, we construct the projective representation $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{G}(M)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0$ be the central extension of $A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ obtained as the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$. The group fits into the exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M) \longrightarrow H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The projective representation $(\rho_\lambda, H_\lambda)$ of $A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to a linear representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0$. Hence we obtain a linear representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ as the induced representation of $(\rho_\lambda, H_\lambda)$, which corresponds to the projective representation $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{G}(M)$.

If we take a splitting $\sigma : H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M)$ of the exact sequence (1) suitably, then we can express the representation space \mathcal{H}_λ as

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \left\{ \Phi : H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_\lambda \mid \sum_\xi \|\Phi(\xi)\|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

and the action of $f \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ on $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ as

$$(2) \quad (\rho_\lambda(f)\Phi)(\xi) = e^{2\pi i \{S_M(\sigma(c), \sigma(\xi) + \alpha) - S_M(\sigma(c), \sigma(c))\}} \rho_{\lambda+s(\xi)}(\alpha) \Phi(\xi - c),$$

where $c = \chi(f) \in H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha = f - \sigma(\chi(f)) \in A^{2k}(M)/A^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphism $s : H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is given by defining $s(\xi) : \mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to be $s(\xi)(\eta) = 2 \int_M \eta \wedge \xi_\mathbb{R} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\xi_\mathbb{R} \in A^{2k+1}(M)_\mathbb{Z}$ is a de Rham representative of the real image of $\xi \in H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIONS

Under an isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)/\mathbb{H}^{2k}(M)_\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^b$, we can identify the image of s with $(2\mathbb{Z})^b \subset \mathbb{Z}^b$ by the Poincaré duality. Hence the classification in Theorem 2.2 follows from the next proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *The projective unitary representations $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ and $(\rho_{\lambda'}, \mathcal{H}_{\lambda'})$ are equivalent if and only if there is $\xi \in H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\lambda' = \lambda + s(\xi)$.*

The proof of the “if” part is as follows: we fix a decomposition of $H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ into the free part F^{2k+1} and the torsion part T^{2k+1} . For $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ and $\xi \in F^{2k+1}$, the representation $(\rho'_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ given by $\rho'_\lambda = \rho_\lambda(\sigma(\xi))^{-1} \circ \rho_\lambda \circ \rho_\lambda(\sigma(\xi))$ is apparently isomorphic to $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$. Using the expression (2), we can show $\rho'_\lambda = \rho_{\lambda+s(\xi)}$.

The proof of the “only if” part is as follows: the expression (2) implies that the representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0$ obtained as the restriction of $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ is the Hilbert space direct sum $\widehat{\bigoplus}_\xi H_{\lambda+s(\xi)}$, where ξ runs through the elements in $H^{2k+1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Because $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda'}$ is induced from the representation $H_{\lambda'}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0$, the “Frobenius reciprocity” yields an isomorphism of (abstract) linear spaces:

$$\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_{\lambda'}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0}(\widehat{\bigoplus}_\xi H_{\lambda+s(\xi)}, H_{\lambda'}).$$

The restriction maps also yield an injective linear map

$$\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0}(\widehat{\bigoplus}_\xi H_{\lambda+s(\xi)}, H_{\lambda'}) \longrightarrow \prod_\xi \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0}(H_{\lambda+s(\xi)}, H_{\lambda'}).$$

Now we can show that: if $\lambda' \neq \lambda + s(\xi)$, then $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^0}(H_{\lambda+s(\xi)}, H_{\lambda'}) = 0$, so that $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(M)}(\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_{\lambda'}) = 0$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] J-L. Brylinski, *Loop spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [2] K. Gomi, *Central extensions of gauge transformation groups of higher abelian gerbes*. hep-th/0504075.
- [3] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,, KOMABA 3-8-1, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914 JAPAN.

E-mail address: kgomi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp